

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**CHUKWUMA E. AZUBUKO,**  
**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**JUDGE B. AVANT EDENFIELD,**  
**Defendant.**

**Case No. 2:05-cv-102**  
**JUDGE EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR.**  
**Magistrate Judge Norah McCann King**

**OPINION AND ORDER**

The complaint in this action was dismissed, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted and because it sought monetary relief against the defendant judge, who is immune from such relief. (Doc. No. 3.) That dismissal was affirmed by the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. *Azubuko v. Edenfield*, Case No. 05-3777 (6th Cir. March 6, 2006). On May 31, 2011, the plaintiff filed a motion for relief from judgment (Doc. No. 12), which this Court denied (Doc. No. 13).

The plaintiff has now filed a second motion for relief from judgment (Doc. No. 15), which he refers to as his third such motion. That is, the plaintiff indicates in the motion that he mailed a second motion for relief to this Court that was not docketed. Nothing in the plaintiff's current motion for relief from judgment persuades the Court that the judgment entered in this action was in any respect erroneous. Therefore, the Court hereby **DENIES** that motion. (Doc. No. 15.)

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

1-16-2013  
**DATE**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR.**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**